

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,035	10/27/2000	John Michael Pinneo	P1-005	6770
7590	06/13/2002			
Kenneth D'Alessandro			EXAMINER	
Sierra Patent Gro P.O. Box 6149	oup, Ltd.		ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK	
Stateline, NV 89449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	TAI ER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 30

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FIRST NAMED APPLICANT FILING DATE APPLICATION NUMBER EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 10 DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire____ _ month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims 1-6 and 17-2 is/are pending in the application. Claim(s) _ 17-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claim(s) ____ is/are allowed. Claim(s) _ _is/are rejected. Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to. Claim(s) _ are subject to restriction or election requirement. Claims _ **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. _____is/are objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on _ _ is 🗌 approved 🔲 disapproved. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on __ ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: ___ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES --

☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 3743

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 3/2/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Election/Restriction

Newly submitted claims 17-21 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

- A) The species as illustrated in Figures 3A-3B
- B) The species as illustrated in Figures 2A-2F

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention (i.e. species A as illustrated in Figures 3A-3B), this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 17-21 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention (i.e. species B). See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the electronic devices and the multiple diamond elements must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

Art Unit: 3743

abeyance.

Double Patenting

Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation in claims 4 and 6, "the heat sources" lacks antecedence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold et al.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Larson et

al.

Art Unit: 3743

Response to Arguments

Applicant's concerns directed toward Arnold et al. and Larson et al. are not found persuasive. Applicant's claims 1-6 do not require the wall or walls of the heat pipe to be made of diamond but rather that an element(s) being diamond as disclosed on pages 12 and 13 of applicant's specification and as illustrated in Figures 3A and 3B in applicant's drawings. Therefore, Arnold et al. and Larson et al. teach a heat pipe having at least one diamond element (item 74 in Arnold et al. and item 34 in Larson et al.) through which heat flows between a heat source(s) (the multiple integrated circuits in Arnold et al. and item 12 in Larson et al.) and a heat pipe (item 76 in Arnold et al. and item 10 in Larson et al.).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

June 12, 2002

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER